

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,500	03/16/2004	Kaichang Li	245-67929-01	3653	
24197	75,90 11/24/2006		EXAMINER		
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET			NUTTER, NATHAN M		
SUITE 1600	MON SIREEI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND,	OR 97204	•	1711		
			DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006	DATE MAILED: 11/24/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/802,500	LI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Nathan M. Nutter	1711				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by status reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) \tilde{\tilie}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}}	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11	September 2006.					
2a)□							
3)□	<u> </u>						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims		·	·			
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5-16 and 19-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-16,31 and 32</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 5-12, 19-30 and 33-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			•			
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	٠			
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	nts have been received. nts have been received in Appl	ication No	. *			
	application from the International Bure	, ,,,					
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not rec	ceived.				
			•				
Attachmer	it(s)	•					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum					
3) 🔲 Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		ail Date mal Patent Application				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11 September 2006 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendment filed 11 September 2006, the following is placed in effect.

The rejection of claims 1, 3 and 6 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Sarjeant (US 3,285,801) is hereby expressly withdrawn.

The following new rejections are being presented.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 7-12 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claims contain subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably

Art Unit: 1711

convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventors, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The concept of excluding a "phenol-formaldehyde resin or a urea-formaldehyde resin" is not disclosed in the Specification, as originally filed. Nothing is disclosed in the Specification that specifically excludes these resins.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5-12, 19-31 and 33-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lehtinen et al (US 6,030,562) or Lloyd et al (US 6,368,529) in view of Brode, III et al (US 6,716,421) or Blount (US 4,382,136) and taken with Falkehag (US 3,697,497), newly cited.

The patent to Lehtinen et al (US 6,030,562) teaches the manufacture of a composite that may include "at least one lignin component; at least one amine compound; and at least one boron compound (claim 1)," using an industrial lignin (claim 3), with inclusion of a zinc borate of "(a)bout 0.1% to about 3.0% (claim 5) and using a polyamine, melamine, (claim 6), as recited and claimed herein. Note column 2 (lines 19-

Art Unit: 1711

37) for the inclusion of industrial lignin to which may be added zinc borate at column 2 (lines 42 et seq) and melamine (a polyamine) at column 3 (lines 14-29).

The patent to Lloyd et al (US 6,368,529) teaches the manufacture of a composite that may include "at least one lignin component; at least one amine compound; and at least one boron compound (claim 1)," with inclusion of a borate compound that may be present as calcium borate in a "range of about 0.1% to about 4% by weight (claim 5)" and using a polyamine, melamine, (claim 6), as recited and claimed herein. Note column 3 (lines 7-30) for the inclusion of melamine resin and lignin to which may be added calcium borate at column 3 (lines 53-63).

The reference to Brode, III et al (US 6,716,421) teaches the use of lignin, or degraded or decayed lignin, in a composition that comprises a boron-containing compound and an amine compound, as herein claimed. Note column 3 (lines 60 et seq.) for the employment of boron compounds, specifically column 15 (lines 1-11 and 29-31), which, at the paragraph bridging column 15 to column 16, is included in amounts as recited and claimed herein. The reference shows the amine compounds at column 16 (lines 29-36 and 62-64). The lignin components are shown at column 16 (lines 8-26).

The patent to Blount (US 4,382,136) shows the use of broken down lignin, that may comprise decomposed plant material at column 2 (lines 5-13). Further, note column 1 (line 49) to column 2 (line 4). The patent teaches the inclusion of borates at column 7 (lines 42-49) and polyamines at the paragraph bridging column 7 to column 8.

Art Unit: 1711

The reference to Falkehag teaches the use of a demethylated lignin in compositions comprising polyamines. Note the Abstract.

The primary references to Lloyd et al and Lehtinen et al teach essentially what is recited for the broad claims except that the employment of decayed or degraded lignins are not taught. The secondary references to Brode, III et al and Blount show these features to be conventional equivalents in compositions containing lignins. As such, employment of those lignins in the composition as disclosed by Lloyd et al would have been and obvious modification to a practitioner having an ordinary skill in the art. No unexpected results are shown on the record with regard to the choice of lignin materials since, even in a charred or degraded state lignin would maintain most of its chemical identity, as effective to produce the compositions as recited and claimed herein.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 11 September 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In view of the new grounds of rejection, the arguments are deemed to be moot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nathan M. Nutter whose telephone number is 571-272-1076. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 a.m.-6:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James J. Seidleck can be reached on 571-272-1078. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1711

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) pr 57

Nathan M. Nutter Primary Examiner Art Unit 1711

nmn

17 November 2006